

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/801,931	03/15/2004	Manfred R. Koller	066666-0024	2332	
41552 7	590 06/09/2006		EXAMINER		
	TT, WILL & EMERY	GITOMER, RALPH J			
4370 LA JOLL SAN DIEGO,	.A VILLAGE DRIVE, SUI CA 92122	TE 700	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1655		
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annlie	cation No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		1,931	KOLLER ET AL.		
		iner	Art Unit	<del></del>	
_		Gitomer	1655		
The MAILING DATE of this comn				ldress –	
Period for Reply			•		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE  - Extensions of time may be available under the provise after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maling date of this cook if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mon earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	E MAILING DATE OF ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nommunication. In statutory period will apply aleply will, by statute, cause the ths after the mailing date of the	THIS COMMUNICATION o event, however, may a reply be timed will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s)</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the present of the condition of the</li></ol>	2b)⊠ This action on for allowance exc	is non-final. ept for formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-87 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) 1-87 are subject to restr	s/are withdrawn from				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any o Replacement drawing sheet(s) included the control of th	re: a)  accepted on bjection to the drawing ting the correction is red	(s) be held in abeyance. See quired if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	O 152)	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	J-13 <i>L)</i>	

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-86, drawn to a method of purifying cells, classified in class 435, subclass 30.

II. Claim 87, drawn to a cell, unclassifiable.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as purifying cells other than those of Group II. And the cells of Group II could be produced by methods other than those of Group I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,931 Page 3

Art Unit: 1655

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (571) 272-0916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,931 Page 4

Art Unit: 1655

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ractomes Polish Citamor

Ralph Gitomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655

RALPH GITOMER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200